## Comments

## **Solution of Large Numbers of Simultaneous Equations**

Cecil E. Spruill\*
Chance Vought Corporation, Dallas, Texas

THERE has been considerable discussion in the industry of late concerning methods of solving large numbers of simultaneous equations. However, there has not been much said of actual sizes that have been solved and checked out successfully. This note is written to present the results of some experience with this problem and to encourage further discussion of actual experiences.

Vought Astronautics Division has been using the routine reported in Ref. 1 for the investigation of thermal stresses in thick spherical shells subjected to nonlinear temperature distributions. In this routine, Dr. A. J. A. Morgan and C. H. Christensen applied the suggestions of David Young<sup>2, 3</sup> (for using over-relaxation techniques applied to the Gauss-Seidel iteration process) to the solution of a set of linear difference equations. The solution of these equations yields the displacements of points on a finite difference net spread over the shell domain.

The routine was programmed for the IBM 704 DPS. It is being used with the 7090 system under a compatibility program which slows execution considerably compared to a pure 7090 programmed routine. The exact extent to which the routine is slowed is not known. For 211 equations, running time averages about 7 min; 410 equations,  $\frac{1}{2}$  hr. The over-relaxation device accelerates convergence over pure iteration by somewhat over an order of magnitude for an average case. Excellent solutions were obtained as evidenced by checks against simple closed form solutions and extensive checks for consistency and static equilibrium.

The writer has been under the impression that the usual methods of matrix inversion tend to become unreliable at sizes somewhere in the neighborhood of  $100 \times 100$  to  $150 \times 150$  because of the accumulation of roundoff. This impression is based upon a very shaky foundation as it results from a small amount of actual experience, and it is recognized that much variation should be expected from problem to problem with different matrices and types of solution. It has been assumed that larger sizes would require some form of iteration to minimize this round-off problem. One proposal considered at Vought Astronautics Division involved adding a few Gauss-Seidel iteration passes to a normal matrix inversion. This should extend the range of normal techniques considerably.

Further discussion should be of value to the industry.

## References

<sup>1</sup> Morgan, A. J. A. and Christensen, C. H., "Thermal stresses in missile nose cones," IAS SMF Fund Paper FF-24 (January 1960).

<sup>2</sup> Young, D., "Iterative methods for solving partial difference equations of elliptic type," Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **76**, 92–111 (1954).

<sup>3</sup> Young, D. and Lerch, F., "The numerical solution of Laplace's equation or ORDVAC," Ballistics Research Labs. Memo. Rept. 708, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Aberdeen, Md., ASTIA-AD-20795 (1953).

Received February 25, 1963.

\* Senior Engineering Specialist, Structures, Astronautics Division. Member AIAA.

## Comment on the Use of Superposition for Plates under Combined Loading

William Judson Anderson\*
Aeronautical Research Laboratories,
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio

A RECENT series of papers<sup>1-4</sup> contains statements to the effect that plate problems involving combined loading (both midplane and bending loads) can be solved by the superposition of a pure bending solution and a plane stress solution. The authors, Newman and Forray, do not indicate clearly the assumptions made or the resulting restrictions upon the class of problems which can be solved by this superposition. References 1–3 consider plates subjected to loads that are both mechanical and thermal in nature. The use of the superposition is justified by the use of "classical linear plate" theory and, in Ref. 3, by the additional words "linear thermal stress" theory. The position of Newman and Forray on this matter is stated most clearly in Ref. 4, where the introductory remarks are

One of the basic assumptions of the classical linear theory of plates is that the bending action does not induce significant midplane stretching. It is further assumed that stresses and deformations produced by loads and restraints in the midplane can be superposed on the bending solution. Thus coupling between the two effects is not accommodated by the classical theory.

No one will argue about the first sentence, of course. The purpose of this note is to express some concern about the emphasis placed on this superposition principle and to inquire whether or not the proposed superposition has a useful region of validity.

Timoshenko<sup>5</sup> credits St. Venant with the derivation of the proper equation for the case of combined loading in plates. The equation was published in 1883 and generally is considered a part of classical linear plate theory. With the addition of a term due to thermal moments, it is of the form

$$D\nabla w^4 - N_x \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} - 2N_{xy} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial y} - N_y \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} = q - \frac{1}{1 - \nu} \nabla^2 M_T \quad (1)$$

The symbols  $N_x, N_{xy}$ , and  $N_y$  represent the midplane forces per unit length and are due to midplane loading. These forces are not to be confused with the nonlinear midplane forces due to stretching of the plate out of its plane. This is a linear equation, valid for the small deflections of a plate made of an elastic material. It is well known that the solution of the combined loading problem is accomplished first by finding  $N_x, N_{xy}$ , and  $N_y$  from a plane stress solution and then by solving Eq. (1). If superposition of solutions is to be used, the argument must be that the restoring forces due to the membrane forces are much smaller than the bending forces throughout the plate:

$$\left| N_x \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + 2N_{xy} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial y} + N_y \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} \right| \ll |D \nabla w^4| \qquad (2)$$

This assumption places a drastic restriction on the magnitude of the midplane forces. It is doubtful that the early authors intended that the physical problem of a plate subjected to midplane and transverse loads be treated under the assumption of inequality (2).

Received March 11, 1963.

<sup>\*</sup> First Lieutenant, U. S. Air Force; also Task Scientist, Thermo-Mechanics Research Laboratory. Associate Member AIAA.